UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation

Docket No. 22-md-3043 (DLC)

This Document Relates To: Johnson Consumer Inc.

Natasha Masse, et al., v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
Case No.:

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

I. FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Plaintiff(s) hereby file their Complaint by way of (select one):

X	This Complaint is f	iled pursuant	to Orde	er: Direct	Filing (DE 2	238). Pla	intiff(s)
hereby	designate(s)	he Unit	ed S	States	District	Court	for
the	District of Arizona			as	Plaintiff(s)	' home	venue
("Home	Venue"), as this case	may have or	riginally	been filed	there because	e:	
	The APAP p Minor were p AZ	` /			1 0		
	Plaintiff-mind	or was born i	n				(city),
	A substantial there, to wit:	•					
	At least Defe	ndant			i	is a resider	nt of the
	district and a	ll defendants	s are resid	ents of the	e State in wh	nich that d	istrict is
	located.						
	This case originally	was filed	in the	United S	States Distric	ct Court	for the
			_ and was	s transferre	ed to this Cou	ırt via Cor	nditional

Transfer C	rder No by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.
II. PI	AINTIFF(S) INFORMATION
Pla	ntiff(s) are the following individuals (check all boxes which apply and fill out all
informatio	n for selected Plaintiff(s)):
🗶 Pla	ntiff-Mother (name): Natasha Masse
	■ State of Residence: TN ▼
	■ State of Citizenship: TN ▼
	Filing Capacity:
	As Guardian, on behalf of Plaintiff Child
	Individually
Pla A.	ntiff Child #1 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
	■ State of Residence: TN ▼
	■ State of Citizenship: TN
	■ Year of Birth (yyyy): 2005 ▼
	■ Injury:
	Autism Spectrum Disorder
	Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Pla	ntiff Child #2 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
	■ State of Residence:
	■ State of Citizenship:

■ Year of Birth (yyyy):
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Other Plaintiff(s):
 Capacity to assert claim(s) (e.g., other parent, guardian, conservator, administrator,
executor):
■ State of Residence: ▼
■ State of Citizenship:
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) include additional Plaintiff(s) who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, but are not otherwise listed above due to space constraints, please check here and list the additional Plaintiff's name, capacity to assert claims, state of residence, state of citizenship, and pertinent factual and legal claims on a Short Form Complaint Addendum.
See attached
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) seek(s) to include additional Plaintiff-Children, please check here and list the additional name (or initials, if the Plaintiff Child is currently a minor), state of residence, state of citizenship, year of birth, and injury on a Short Form Complaint Addendum.
See attached
III. INCORPORATION OF MASTER COMPLAINT(S)
Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the below indicated Master
Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen - ASD-ADHD
Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043, on December 16, 2022:
The Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson
Consumer Inc. (DE 276).

IV.

below:

• Note: Plaintiffs incorporating this Master Complaint must complete Section
IV.A in addition to the above sections.
The Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against the Retailer
Defendants (DE 277).
• Note: Plaintiffs incorporating this Master Complaint must complete Section
IV.B in addition to the above sections.
DEFENDANT(S)
A. Manufacturer Defendant
1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Manufacturer Defendant selected .
Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
Plaintiff Child #1:
Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:
Tylenol Regular® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): Feb ▼ 2005 ▼ to Nov ▼ 2005 ▼
Tylenol Extra Strength® Date range Mother took Tylenol Extra Strength® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): to
Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
to

Other:	
	Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Plaintiff Child #2 (if n	nore than one Plaintiff Child):
 Johnson & Johnson with Plaintiff C 	anson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant Child #2:
	Regular® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	Extra Strength® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	to
	Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	▼ to ▼

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* as if fully set forth herein.

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (*i.e.* not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below)):

Plaintiff- Mother	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff	Plaintiff-Other	Claim/Allegation
		Child)		
X	X			Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
X	X			Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
X	X			Count III: Negligence
X	X			Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation
X	X			Count V: Strict Liability Misrepresentation Under § 402B of the Restatement (Second) of Torts (Limited to Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maryland, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Wyoming)
X	X			Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
X	X			Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the box(es) above for which misrepresentation is an element, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the *Master Long Form Complaint*

and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specifi
allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation must be set forth here:
None.

- 5. In checking the box(es) above concerning Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) allegations made in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* and alleges violation of the following Consumer Protection Laws from the State(s) of Arizona
- 6. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint. (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed.): None.

B. Retailer Defendant(s):

1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Retailer Defendants selected below
By checking a box against a Retailer Defendant, Plaintiff(s) allege their claims arise out of the
acetaminophen store brands identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand
Against Retailer Defendants or otherwise specify additional products below:
7-Eleven, Inc.
Plaintiff Child #1:
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
 Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
■ Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
v _to v
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

Case 1:23-cv-04543 Document 1 Filed 05/31/23 Page 9 of 25

•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the <i>Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants</i> :
Big Lots, Inc.	
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Co	ostco Whole	esale Corporation
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v _to v
	-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		▼ v _{to} ▼ •
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

acy, Inc.
Child #1:
Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
v _to v
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
▼ vto ▼ v
Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the <i>Master Long Form Complaint and Jury</i>
Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

D	olgencorp,	LLC
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

D	ollar Tree S	Stores, Inc.
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		lacktriangledown to
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 Two if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Fa	amily Dolla	r Stores, LLC
	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v _to v
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		lacktriangledown $lacktriangledown$ $lacktriangledown$
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

The Kroger	Co.
 Plaintiff C 	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	v _to v
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	v to v
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

R	ite Aid Cor	poration
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v _to v
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Sa	ıfeway, Inc	
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v to v
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

] Sam's West	Inc.
Plaintiff	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chik #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	▼ to ▼
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chik #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

get Corp	oration
Plaintiff C	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chik #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	▼
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chik #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C

W	algreen Co) .
	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
		#1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
		#2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Walmart Inc	. .
Plaintiff C	Child #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chik #1 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	▼
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Chik #2 if not identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:
		Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:
•		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2: Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2: Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2: Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long*Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants as if fully set forth herein.

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (i.e. not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below):

Plaintiff- Mother	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff- Other	Claim/Allegation
				Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
				Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
				Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation
				Count V: Strict Liability Misrepresentation Under § 402B of the Restatement (Second) of Torts (Limited to: Arizona, California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Maryland, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Wyoming)
				Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws
				Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty
				Count VIII: Liability as Apparent Manufacturer

•	4. In checking the boxes above for which misrepresentation is an element,
Plaintiff(s) ado	pt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the Master Long Form Complaint
and Jury Dema	and Against Retailer Defendants. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as
to the alleged n	nisrepresentation must be set forth here:

- 5. In checking the box(es) above concerning Count VI: Violation of Consumer Protection Laws, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) allegations made in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* and alleges violation of the following Consumer Protection Laws from the State(s) of
- 6. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed):

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and demand(s) a trial by jury as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in *In Re: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 3043 (S.D.N.Y.), on December 16, 2022.

	_	
Dated: May	▼ 31 ▼ 2023 ▼	Respectfully submitted,
		/S/ Jennifer Neal
	Attorney Name: Jennifer Neal	
	Attorney Firm: WATTS GUERRA LLP	
	Attorney Address Line 1: 5726 W. Hausman St., Ste 11	9
	Attorney Address Line 2: San Antonio, TX 78249	
	Telephone: (210) 447-0500	
	Fax: (210) 447-0501	
	Attorney Email: jneal@wa	ttsguerra.com
	Counsel for Plaintiff(s):	
	Natasha Masse, et al.	